

Claspar
A Distributed Conflict-Driven Answer Set Solver

Martin Gebser Roland Kaminski Benjamin Kaufmann
Torsten Schaub Bettina Schnor

University of Potsdam

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Search Topologies
- 3 Knowledge Exchange
- 4 Optimization
- 5 Summary

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Search Topologies
- 3 Knowledge Exchange
- 4 Optimization
- 5 Summary

Overview *clasp*

- Is a **parallel** answer set solver based on **MPI** and *clasp*
- Builds upon *clasp*'s interfaces
 - Incremental interface
 - Extensible propagation
 - No changes to the *clasp* library itself!
- Supports a subset of *clasp*'s reasoning modes
 - **Enumeration**
 - **Optimization**
- Inherits all of *clasp*'s options related to **search strategies!**
- Offers different search topologies
 - Search space splitting using **guiding paths**
 - Competitive search using **solver portfolios**
 - Combinations of both
- Configurable **knowledge exchange** between solver instances

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Search Topologies
- 3 Knowledge Exchange
- 4 Optimization
- 5 Summary

Search Topologies

- Master Worker Topology
 - Master divides search space among workers using the guiding path technique
 - Solver instances work on **disjoint search spaces**
 - Maximum work sharing
- Competition Topology
 - Extends master worker topology
 - Worker and a set of competitors solve the **same subproblem**
 - **Different search strategies** for workers and competitors
 - More robust
- Hierarchical Master Worker
 - Extends master worker topology
 - Superior master controls set of inferior masters
 - Inferior masters control workers
 - Better scaling than master worker topology

Search Topologies

■ Master Worker Topology

- Master divides search space among workers using the guiding path technique
- Solver instances work on disjoint search spaces
- **Maximum work sharing**

■ Competition Topology

- Extends master worker topology
- Worker and a set of competitors solve the same subproblem
- Different search strategies for workers and competitors
- **More robust**

■ Hierarchical Master Worker

- Extends master worker topology
- Superior master controls set of inferior masters
- Inferior masters control workers
- **Better scaling than master worker topology**

Experiments

	1+2 (1)	6+2 (1)	30+2 (4)	62+2 (8)
Guiding Path				
ASP	174,661 (24)	154,504 (22)	103,283 (14)	85,578 (11)
SAT	89,428 (8)	42,491 (5)	38,293 (6)	30,515 (4)
Uniform Portfolio				
ASP	174,661 (24)	149,157 (17)	133,147 (18)	113,309 (16)
SAT	89,428 (8)	57,694 (3)	40,555 (2)	31,734 (2)
Non-uniform Portfolio				
ASP	174,661 (24)	141,890 (16)	98,160 (11)	92,331 (11)
SAT	89,428 (8)	52,739 (3)	37,772 (3)	30,739 (1)

Machines 28 × two quadcore Intel Xeon E5520, 48GB memory

ASP benchmark consisting of 68 ASP instances

SAT benchmark consisting of 78 SAT instances

Header solver instances + control processes (machines)

Cells time (timeout)

Experiments

	1+2 (1)	6+2 (1)	30+2 (4)	62+2 (8)
Guiding Path				
ASP	174,661 (24)	154,504 (22)	103,283 (14)	85,578 (11)
SAT	89,428 (8)	42,491 (5)	38,293 (6)	30,515 (4)
Uniform Portfolio				
ASP	174,661 (24)	149,157 (17)	133,147 (18)	113,309 (16)
SAT	89,428 (8)	57,694 (3)	40,555 (2)	31,734 (2)
Non-uniform Portfolio				
ASP	174,661 (24)	141,890 (16)	98,160 (11)	92,331 (11)
SAT	89,428 (8)	52,739 (3)	37,772 (3)	30,739 (1)

Machines 28 × two quadcore Intel Xeon E5520, 48GB memory

ASP benchmark consisting of 68 ASP instances

SAT benchmark consisting of 78 SAT instances

Header solver instances + control processes (machines)

Cells time (timeout)

Experiments

	1+2 (1)	6+2 (1)	30+2 (4)	62+2 (8)
Guiding Path				
ASP	174,661 (24)	154,504 (22)	103,283 (14)	85,578 (11)
SAT	89,428 (8)	42,491 (5)	38,293 (6)	30,515 (4)
Uniform Portfolio				
ASP	174,661 (24)	149,157 (17)	133,147 (18)	113,309 (16)
SAT	89,428 (8)	57,694 (3)	40,555 (2)	31,734 (2)
Non-uniform Portfolio				
ASP	174,661 (24)	141,890 (16)	98,160 (11)	92,331 (11)
SAT	89,428 (8)	52,739 (3)	37,772 (3)	30,739 (1)

Machines 28 × two quadcore Intel Xeon E5520, 48GB memory

ASP benchmark consisting of 68 ASP instances

SAT benchmark consisting of 78 SAT instances

Header solver instances + control processes (machines)

Cells time (timeout)

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Search Topologies
- 3 Knowledge Exchange
- 4 Optimization
- 5 Summary

Knowledge Exchange

- No nogood exchange per default
- All-to-all Nogood Exchange
 - No scaling
- Local Nogood Exchange
 - Exchange depends on topology
 - Competition Worker + associated competitors
 - Hierarchical Workers sharing a Master
 - Master Worker Equivalent to all-to-all exchange
 - Localize exchange
- Hypercube Nogood Exchange
 - Solver instances organized in hypercube
 - Exchange along edges
 - Better scaling/ still some locality
- Nogood Filtering Heuristics
 - Nogood length
 - Literal Blocking Distance

Knowledge Exchange

- No nogood exchange per default
- All-to-all Nogood Exchange
 - No scaling
- Local Nogood Exchange
 - Exchange depends on topology
 - Competition Worker + associated competitors
 - Hierarchical Workers sharing a Master
 - Master Worker Equivalent to all-to-all exchange
 - Localize exchange
- Hypercube Nogood Exchange
 - Solver instances organized in hypercube
 - Exchange along edges
 - Better scaling/ still some locality
- Nogood Filtering Heuristics
 - Nogood length
 - Literal Blocking Distance

Experiments

	1+2 (1)	6+2 (1)	30+2 (4)	62+2 (8)
Without Nogood Exchange				
ASP	174,661 (24)	141,890 (16)	98,160 (11)	92,331 (11)
SAT	89,428 (8)	52,739 (3)	37,772 (3)	30,739 (1)
Local Nogood Exchange				
ASP	174,661 (24)	93,166 (11)	75,678 (13)	58,747 (7)
SAT	89,428 (8)	29,067 (0)	28,324 (3)	14,373 (1)
Hypercube Nogood Exchange				
ASP	174,661 (24)	92,108 (10)	82,388 (13)	64,028 (9)
SAT	89,428 (8)	27,245 (0)	33,602 (4)	24,099 (2)

- Machines 28 × two quadcore Intel Xeon E5520, 48GB memory
- ASP benchmark consisting of 68 ASP instances
- SAT benchmark consisting of 78 SAT instances
- Header solver instances + control processes (machines)
- Cells time (timeout)

Experiments

	1+2 (1)	6+2 (1)	30+2 (4)	62+2 (8)
Without Nogood Exchange				
ASP	174,661 (24)	141,890 (16)	98,160 (11)	92,331 (11)
SAT	89,428 (8)	52,739 (3)	37,772 (3)	30,739 (1)
Local Nogood Exchange				
ASP	174,661 (24)	93,166 (11)	75,678 (13)	58,747 (7)
SAT	89,428 (8)	29,067 (0)	28,324 (3)	14,373 (1)
Hypercube Nogood Exchange				
ASP	174,661 (24)	92,108 (10)	82,388 (13)	64,028 (9)
SAT	89,428 (8)	27,245 (0)	33,602 (4)	24,099 (2)

Machines 28 × two quadcore Intel Xeon E5520, 48GB memory
ASP benchmark consisting of 68 ASP instances
SAT benchmark consisting of 78 SAT instances
Header solver instances + control processes (machines)
Cells time (timeout)

Experiments

	1+2 (1)	6+2 (1)	30+2 (4)	62+2 (8)
Without Nogood Exchange				
ASP	174,661 (24)	141,890 (16)	98,160 (11)	92,331 (11)
SAT	89,428 (8)	52,739 (3)	37,772 (3)	30,739 (1)
Local Nogood Exchange				
ASP	174,661 (24)	93,166 (11)	75,678 (13)	58,747 (7)
SAT	89,428 (8)	29,067 (0)	28,324 (3)	14,373 (1)
Hypercube Nogood Exchange				
ASP	174,661 (24)	92,108 (10)	82,388 (13)	64,028 (9)
SAT	89,428 (8)	27,245 (0)	33,602 (4)	24,099 (2)

- Machines 28 × two quadcore Intel Xeon E5520, 48GB memory
- ASP benchmark consisting of 68 ASP instances
- SAT benchmark consisting of 78 SAT instances
- Header solver instances + control processes (machines)
- Cells time (timeout)

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Search Topologies
- 3 Knowledge Exchange
- 4 Optimization**
- 5 Summary

Optimization

- **Branch and bound optimization**
 - Lower bounds sent to dedicated process
 - Then broadcasted and incorporated if relevant
 - Lowest reported bound corresponds to optimum
- **Compatible** with all search topologies

Experiments

1+2 (1)	6+2 (1)	30+2 (4)	62+2 (8)
Guiding Path			
28.68 (39)	36.90 (37)	39.65 (37)	46.42 (32)
Uniform Portfolio			
28.68 (39)	31.80 (39)	36.71 (37)	39.21 (37)
Non-uniform Portfolio			
28.68 (39)	32.79 (39)	40.29 (37)	39.91 (37)
Guiding Path + Hypercube Nogood Exchange			
28.68 (39)	36.04 (37)	37.95 (37)	43.81 (34)

- Machines 28 × two quadcore Intel Xeon E5520, 48GB memory
- Benchmark 53 ASP instances
- Header solver instances + control processes (machines)
- Cells score (timeout)

Experiments

1+2 (1)	6+2 (1)	30+2 (4)	62+2 (8)
Guiding Path			
28.68 (39)	36.90 (37)	39.65 (37)	46.42 (32)
Uniform Portfolio			
28.68 (39)	31.80 (39)	36.71 (37)	39.21 (37)
Non-uniform Portfolio			
28.68 (39)	32.79 (39)	40.29 (37)	39.91 (37)
Guiding Path + Hypercube Nogood Exchange			
28.68 (39)	36.04 (37)	37.95 (37)	43.81 (34)

Machines 28 × two quadcore Intel Xeon E5520, 48GB memory
Benchmark 53 ASP instances
Header solver instances + control processes (machines)
Cells score (timeout)

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Search Topologies
- 3 Knowledge Exchange
- 4 Optimization
- 5 Summary

Summary

Available at

- <http://potassco.sourceforge.net>

- *clasp* is adjustable to various physical architectures through
 - different search topologies and
 - configurable nogood exchange
- *clasp* might be valuable to solve challenging problems
- *clasp* supports most of the reasoning modes and configurability of *clasp*

But Still needs research to improve its efficiency

Summary

Available at

- <http://potassco.sourceforge.net>

- *clasp* is **adjustable** to various physical architectures through
 - different **search topologies** and
 - configurable **nogood exchange**
- *clasp* might be valuable to solve **challenging problems**
- *clasp* supports most of the **reasoning modes** and **configurability** of *clasp*

But Still needs research to improve its efficiency