

Complex Optimization in Answer Set Programming

Martin Gebser Roland Kaminski Torsten Schaub

University of Potsdam

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Basic Meta-Programming
- 4 Advanced Meta-Programming
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Summary

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Basic Meta-Programming
- 4 Advanced Meta-Programming
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Summary

Motivation

Fact

Preferences and Optimization play an important role in Knowledge Representation and many other domains!

- Indeed, systems like *dlv*, *clasp*, or *smodels* provide
 - optimization statements
 - weak constraintsfor expressing cost functions on sets of weighted literals
- Also, pre-processors exist for expressing preferences among rules
- No readily applicable implementation techniques for qualitative preferences among answer sets, like
 - inclusion minimality,
 - Pareto efficiency, or
 - complex preference languages

Motivation

Fact

Preferences and Optimization play an important role in Knowledge Representation and many other domains!

- Indeed, systems like *dlv*, *clasp*, or *smodels* provide
 - optimization statements
 - weak constraintsfor expressing cost functions on sets of weighted literals
- Also, pre-processors exist for expressing preferences among rules
- No readily applicable implementation techniques for qualitative preferences among answer sets, like
 - inclusion minimality,
 - Pareto efficiency, or
 - complex preference languages

Fact

Preferences and Optimization play an important role in Knowledge Representation and many other domains!

- Indeed, systems like *dlv*, *clasp*, or *smodels* provide
 - optimization statements
 - weak constraintsfor expressing cost functions on sets of weighted literals
- Also, pre-processors exist for expressing preferences among rules
- No readily applicable implementation techniques for qualitative preferences among answer sets, like
 - inclusion minimality,
 - Pareto efficiency, or
 - complex preference languages

Are complex preferences needed?

- Complex preferences are vital in
 - Argumentation
 - Belief Change
 - Bio-informatics
 - Circumscription
 - Decision Making
 - Diagnosis
 - Inconsistency Handling
 - System Design
 - etc.
- *How come there are no readily available implementation techniques for complex preferences?*

Are complex preferences needed?

- Complex preferences are vital in
 - Argumentation
 - Belief Change
 - Bio-informatics
 - Circumscription
 - Decision Making
 - Diagnosis
 - Inconsistency Handling
 - System Design
 - etc.
- *How come there are no readily available implementation techniques for complex preferences?*

Are complex preferences needed?

- Complex preferences are vital in
 - Argumentation
 - Belief Change
 - Bio-informatics
 - Circumscription
 - Decision Making
 - Diagnosis
 - Inconsistency Handling
 - System Design
 - etc.
- *How come there are no readily available implementation techniques for complex preferences?*

What makes complex preferences intricate?

- Complex preferences lead to a significant increase in computational complexity for normal logic programs
- Because they combine an NP with a coNP problem, where
 - the first one defines feasible solutions
 - the second one ensures that there are no better solutions
- For implementing such problems, Eiter and Gottlob invented the *saturation technique*, using the elevated complexity of disjunctive logic programming
- BUT: this technique is rather involved and hardly usable by ASP laymen

What makes complex preferences intricate?

- Complex preferences lead to a significant increase in computational complexity for normal logic programs
- Because they combine an NP with a coNP problem, where
 - the first one defines feasible solutions
 - the second one ensures that there are no better solutions
- For implementing such problems, Eiter and Gottlob invented the *saturation technique*, using the elevated complexity of disjunctive logic programming
- BUT: this technique is rather involved and hardly usable by ASP laymen

What makes complex preferences intricate?

- Complex preferences lead to a significant increase in computational complexity for normal logic programs
- Because they combine an NP with a coNP problem, where
 - the first one defines feasible solutions
 - the second one ensures that there are no better solutions
- For implementing such problems, Eiter and Gottlob invented the *saturation technique*, using the elevated complexity of disjunctive logic programming
- BUT: this technique is rather involved and hardly usable by ASP laymen

What makes complex preferences intricate?

- Complex preferences lead to a significant increase in computational complexity for normal logic programs
- Because they combine an NP with a coNP problem, where
 - the first one defines feasible solutions
 - the second one ensures that there are no better solutions
- For implementing such problems, Eiter and Gottlob invented the *saturation technique*, using the elevated complexity of disjunctive logic programming
- **BUT:** this technique is rather involved and hardly usable by ASP laymen

Saturation

- 1 Define viable **solution candidates** (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}.$

$:- \text{not } a, \text{not } b.$

$:- \text{not } a, b, c.$

$:- a, \text{not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp :- a^f, b^f.$

$\perp :- a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp :- a^t, c^f.$

$a^t :- \perp. \quad a^f :- \perp.$

$b^t :- \perp. \quad b^f :- \perp.$

$c^t :- \perp. \quad c^f :- \perp.$

$\perp :- a^t, \text{not } a. \quad \bar{a} :- a^t. \quad \bar{a} :- \text{not } a.$

$\perp :- b^t, \text{not } b. \quad \bar{b} :- b^t. \quad \bar{b} :- \text{not } b.$

$\perp :- c^t, \text{not } c. \quad \bar{c} :- c^t. \quad \bar{c} :- \text{not } c.$

$\perp :- \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$:- \text{not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\} \quad \text{vs} \quad \{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal **models** of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable **counterexamples** (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal **models** of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil **invalid counterexamples** and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\} \text{ vs } \{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and **query**

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ vs $\{a^f, b^t, c^f\}, \{a^t, b^f, c^t\}, \{a^t, b^t, c^t\}$

Saturation

- 1 Define viable solution candidates (extended logic program)
- 2 Define viable counterexamples (disjunctive logic program)
- 3 Spoil invalid counterexamples and query

$\subseteq$ -minimal models of $\{a \vee b, a \vee \neg b \vee \neg c, \neg a \vee c\}$

$\{a, b, c\}$.

$\text{:- not } a, \text{ not } b.$

$\text{:- not } a, b, c.$

$\text{:- } a, \text{ not } c.$

$a^t \mid a^f. \quad b^t \mid b^f. \quad c^t \mid c^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^f.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^f, b^t, c^t.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, c^f.$

$a^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad a^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$b^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad b^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$c^t \text{ :- } \perp. \quad c^f \text{ :- } \perp.$

$\perp \text{ :- } a^t, \text{ not } a. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- } a^t. \quad \bar{a} \text{ :- not } a.$

$\perp \text{ :- } b^t, \text{ not } b. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- } b^t. \quad \bar{b} \text{ :- not } b.$

$\perp \text{ :- } c^t, \text{ not } c. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- } c^t. \quad \bar{c} \text{ :- not } c.$

$\perp \text{ :- } \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}.$

$\text{:- not } \perp.$

Answer sets

$\{b\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, c\}$ with $\{a^t, a^f, b^t, b^f, c^t, c^f, \bar{a}, \bar{b}, \bar{c}, \perp\}$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Basic Meta-Programming
- 4 Advanced Meta-Programming
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Summary

Preliminaries

- A program consists of rules of the form

$$H :- B_1, \dots, B_m, \text{not } B_{m+1}, \dots, \text{not } B_n.$$

where each H and B_i is either

- an *atom* a_i or
- a *#count constraint*

$$L \{ \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k \} \cup$$

where $\ell_i = a_i$ or $\ell_i = \text{not } a_i$ is a *literal*

- and possibly some *#minimize* statements of the form

$$\# \text{minimize } \{ \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k \}.$$

- Note: our approach supports the full input language of *gringo*.

Preliminaries

- A program consists of rules of the form

$$H :- B_1, \dots, B_m, \text{not } B_{m+1}, \dots, \text{not } B_n.$$

where each H and B_i is either

- an *atom* a_i or
- a *#count constraint*

$$L \{ \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k \} \cup$$

where $\ell_i = a_i$ or $\ell_i = \text{not } a_i$ is a *literal*

- and possibly some *#minimize* statements of the form

$$\text{\#minimize } \{ \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k \}.$$

- Note: our approach supports the full input language of *gringo*.

Preliminaries

- A program consists of rules of the form

$$H :- B_1, \dots, B_m, \text{not } B_{m+1}, \dots, \text{not } B_n.$$

where each H and B_i is either

- an *atom* a_i or
- a *#count constraint*

$$L \{ \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k \} \cup$$

where $\ell_i = a_i$ or $\ell_i = \text{not } a_i$ is a *literal*

- and possibly some *#minimize* statements of the form

$$\text{\#minimize } \{ \ell_1, \dots, \ell_k \}.$$

- Note: our approach supports the full input language of *gringo*.

An example **without** optimization

```
example.lp
```

```
1 { p, t }      :- 1 { r, s, not t } 2.  
  { q, r } 1 :- 1 { p, t }.  
    s      :- not q, not r.
```

```
gringo example.lp | clasp 0
```

```
clasp version 2.0.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
s t  
Answer: 2  
p s  
Answer: 3  
p s t  
Answer: 4  
p q  
Answer: 5  
p r
```

```
Models      : 5  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

We get 5 answer sets

- $\{s, t\}$
- $\{p, s\}$
- $\{p, s, t\}$
- $\{p, q\}$
- $\{p, r\}$

An example **without** optimization

```
example.lp
```

```
1 { p, t }      :- 1 { r, s, not t } 2.  
  { q, r } 1 :- 1 { p, t }.  
      s      :- not q, not r.
```

```
gringo example.lp | clasp 0
```

```
clasp version 2.0.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
s t  
Answer: 2  
p s  
Answer: 3  
p s t  
Answer: 4  
p q  
Answer: 5  
p r
```

```
Models      : 5  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

We get 5 answer sets

- $\{s, t\}$
- $\{p, s\}$
- $\{p, s, t\}$
- $\{p, q\}$
- $\{p, r\}$

An example **with** optimization

```
example.lp
```

```
1 { p, t }      :- 1 { r, s, not t } 2.  
  { q, r } 1 :- 1 { p, t }.  
    s      :- not q, not r.  
#minimize{ p, q, r, s }.
```

```
gringo example.lp | clasp 0
```

```
clasp version 2.0.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
s t  
Optimization: 1  
OPTIMUM FOUND  
  
Models      : 1  
  Optimum   : yes  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

We get 1 answer sets

- $\{s, t\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}, t\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{q}\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, r\}$

An example **with** optimization

```
example.lp
```

```
1 { p, t }      :- 1 { r, s, not t } 2.  
  { q, r } 1 :- 1 { p, t }.  
      s      :- not q, not r.  
#minimize{ p, q, r, s }.
```

```
gringo example.lp | clasp 0
```

```
clasp version 2.0.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
s t  
Optimization: 1  
OPTIMUM FOUND  
  
Models      : 1  
  Optimum   : yes  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

We get 1 answer sets

- $\{s, t\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}, t\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{q}\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, r\}$

Wanted: $\subseteq$ -based optimization

```
example.lp
```

```
1 { p, t }    :- 1 { r, s, not t } 2.  
  { q, r } 1 :- 1 { p, t }.  
      s      :- not q, not r.  
#minimize{ p, q, r, s }.
```

```
mytool example.lp | gringo | clasp 0
```

```
clasp version 2.1.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
s t  
Answer: 2  
p q  
Answer: 3  
p r  
SATISFIABLE
```

```
Models      : 3  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

We want 3 answer sets

- $\{s, t\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, s\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}, t\}$
- $\{p, q\}$
- $\{p, r\}$

Wanted: $\subseteq$ -based optimization

```
example.lp
```

```
1 { p, t }    :- 1 { r, s, not t } 2.  
  { q, r } 1 :- 1 { p, t }.  
      s      :- not q, not r.  
#minimize{ p, q, r, s }.
```

```
mytool example.lp | gringo | claspD 0
```

```
clasp version 2.1.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
s t  
Answer: 2  
p q  
Answer: 3  
p r  
SATISFIABLE
```

```
Models      : 3  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

We want 3 answer sets

- $\{s, t\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, s\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}, t\}$
- $\{p, q\}$
- $\{p, r\}$

Wanted: $\subseteq$ -based optimization

```
example.lp
```

```
1 { p, t }    :- 1 { r, s, not t } 2.  
  { q, r } 1 :- 1 { p, t }.  
      s      :- not q, not r.  
#minimize{ p, q, r, s }.
```

```
mytool example.lp | gringo | claspD 0
```

```
clasp version 2.1.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
s t  
Answer: 2  
p q  
Answer: 3  
p r  
SATISFIABLE  
  
Models      : 3  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

We want 3 answer sets

- $\{s, t\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}, t\}$
- $\{p, q\}$
- $\{p, r\}$

Wanted: $\subseteq$ -based optimization

example.lp

```
1 { p, t }    :- 1 { r, s, not t } 2.  
  { q, r } 1 :- 1 { p, t }.  
      s      :- not q, not r.  
#minimize{ p, q, r, s }.
```

mytool example.lp | gringo | claspD 0

```
clasp version 2.1.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
s t  
Answer: 2  
p q  
Answer: 3  
p r  
SATISFIABLE  
  
Models      : 3  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

We want 3 answer sets

- $\{s, t\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}, t\}$
- $\{p, q\}$
- $\{p, r\}$

Wanted: $\subseteq$ -based optimization

```
example.lp
```

```
1 { p, t }    :- 1 { r, s, not t } 2.  
  { q, r } 1 :- 1 { p, t }.  
      s      :- not q, not r.  
#minimize{ p, q, r, s }.
```

```
mytool example.lp | gringo | claspD 0
```

```
clasp version 2.1.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
s t  
Answer: 2  
p q  
Answer: 3  
p r  
SATISFIABLE
```

```
Models      : 3  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

We want 3 answer sets

- $\{s, t\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}\}$
- $\{\overline{p}, \overline{s}, \overline{t}\}$
- $\{p, q\}$
- $\{p, r\}$

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Basic Meta-Programming
- 4 Advanced Meta-Programming
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Summary

Grounding by example

```
easy.lp
```

```
{ p(1..3) }.  
:- { p(X) } 2.  
q(X) :- p(X), p(X+1), X>1.
```

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

Grounding by example

```
easy.lp
```

```
{ p(1..3) }.  
:- { p(X) } 2.  
q(X) :- p(X), p(X+1), X>1.
```

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

Solving by example

```
easy.lp
```

```
{ p(1..3) }.  
:- { p(X) } 2.  
q(X) :- p(X), p(X+1), X>1.
```

```
gringo easy.lp | clasp 0
```

```
clasp version 2.0.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
p(1) p(2) p(3) q(2)  
SATISFIABLE
```

```
Models      : 1  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

Solving by example

```
easy.lp
```

```
{ p(1..3) }.  
:- { p(X) } 2.  
q(X) :- p(X), p(X+1), X>1.
```

```
gringo easy.lp | clasp 0
```

```
clasp version 2.0.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
p(1) p(2) p(3) q(2)  
SATISFIABLE
```

```
Models      : 1  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

Reifying by example

```
easy.lp
```

```
{ p(1..3) }.  
:- { p(X) } 2.  
q(X) :- p(X), p(X+1), X>1.
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
easy.lp
```

```
{ p(1..3) }.  
:- { p(X) } 2.  
q(X) :- p(X), p(X+1), X>1.
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
easy.lp
```

```
{ p(1..3) }.  
:- { p(X) } 2.  
q(X) :- p(X), p(X+1), X>1.
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Reifying by example

```
gringo --text easy.lp
```

```
#count{ p(1), p(2), p(3) }.  
:- #count{ p(3), p(2), p(1) } 2.  
q(2) :- p(2), p(3).
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp
```

```
wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).  
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).  
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).  
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).  
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).  
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).  
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).  
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
      not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
      :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

      :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
            not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
        :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

        :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
            not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
        :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

        :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))          :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
            not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
        :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

        :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
        not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
        :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

                :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
        not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
      :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

      :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)   : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
            not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
        :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

        :- rule(pos(false),      pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
        not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
        :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

        :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
        not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
        :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

        :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Basic meta-encoding

meta.lp

```
litb(B) :- rule(_,B).
litb(E) :- litb(pos(conjunction(S))), set(S,E).
litb(E) :- eleb(sum(_,S,_)), wlist(S,_,E,_).

eleb(P) :- litb(pos(P)).
eleb(N) :- litb(neg(N)).

elem(E) :- eleb(E).
elem(E) :- rule(pos(E),_).
elem(P) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,pos(P),_).
elem(N) :- rule(pos(sum(_,S,_)),_), wlist(S,_,neg(N),_).

hold(conjunction(S)) :- eleb(conjunction(S)),
                        hold(P)      : set(S,pos(P)),
                        not hold(N)    : set(S,neg(N)).

hold(sum(L,S,U))      :- eleb(sum(L,S,U)),
                        L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
                                not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U.

hold(atom(A))         :- rule(pos(atom(A)), pos(B)), hold(B).

L #sum [      hold(P) = W : wlist(S,Q,pos(P),W),
    not hold(N) = W : wlist(S,Q,neg(N),W) ] U
    :- rule(pos(sum(L,S,U)),pos(B)), hold(B).

    :- rule(pos(false), pos(B)), hold(B).

#hide. #show hold(atom(A)).
```

Reified Grounding by example

```
gringo --reify easy.lp | gringo - meta.lp
```

```
eleb(atom(p(1))).      litb(pos(atom(p(1)))).      elem(atom(p(1))).      elem(false).
eleb(atom(p(2))).      litb(pos(atom(p(2)))).      elem(atom(p(2))).      elem(sum(0,0,2)).
eleb(atom(p(3))).      litb(pos(atom(p(3)))).      elem(atom(p(3))).      elem(sum(0,0,3)).
eleb(conjunction(0)).  litb(pos(conjunction(0))).  elem(atom(q(2))).
eleb(conjunction(1)).  litb(pos(conjunction(1))).  elem(conjunction(0)).
eleb(conjunction(2)).  litb(pos(conjunction(2))).  elem(conjunction(1)).
eleb(sum(0,0,2)).      litb(pos(sum(0,0,2))).      elem(conjunction(2)).

wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).

hold(conjunction(2)) :- hold(atom(p(3))),hold(atom(p(2))).
hold(conjunction(1)) :- hold(sum(0,0,2)).
hold(conjunction(0)).
hold(sum(0,0,2)) :- 0 #sum [ hold(atom(p(3)))=1, hold(atom(p(2)))=1, hold(atom(p(1)))=1 ] 2.
hold(atom(q(2))) :- hold(conjunction(2)).
0 #sum [ hold(atom(p(3)))=1, hold(atom(p(2)))=1, hold(atom(p(1)))=1 ] 3.
:- hold(conjunction(1)).

#hide.
#show hold(atom(p(1))). #show hold(atom(p(2))). #show hold(atom(p(3))). #show hold(atom(q(2))).
```

Reified Grounding by example

```
gringo --reify easy.lp | gringo - meta.lp
```

```
eleb(atom(p(1))).      litb(pos(atom(p(1)))).      elem(atom(p(1))).      elem(false).
eleb(atom(p(2))).      litb(pos(atom(p(2)))).      elem(atom(p(2))).      elem(sum(0,0,2)).
eleb(atom(p(3))).      litb(pos(atom(p(3)))).      elem(atom(p(3))).      elem(sum(0,0,3)).
eleb(conjunction(0)).  litb(pos(conjunction(0))).  elem(atom(q(2))).
eleb(conjunction(1)).  litb(pos(conjunction(1))).  elem(conjunction(0)).
eleb(conjunction(2)).  litb(pos(conjunction(2))).  elem(conjunction(1)).
eleb(sum(0,0,2)).      litb(pos(sum(0,0,2))).      elem(conjunction(2)).

wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).

hold(conjunction(2)) :- hold(atom(p(3))),hold(atom(p(2))).
hold(conjunction(1)) :- hold(sum(0,0,2)).
hold(conjunction(0)).
hold(sum(0,0,2)) :- 0 #sum [ hold(atom(p(3)))=1, hold(atom(p(2)))=1, hold(atom(p(1)))=1 ] 2.
hold(atom(q(2))) :- hold(conjunction(2)).
0 #sum [ hold(atom(p(3)))=1, hold(atom(p(2)))=1, hold(atom(p(1)))=1 ] 3.
:- hold(conjunction(1)).

#hide.
#show hold(atom(p(1))). #show hold(atom(p(2))). #show hold(atom(p(3))). #show hold(atom(q(2))).
```

Reified Grounding by example

```
gringo --reify easy.lp | gringo - meta.lp
```

```
eleb(atom(p(1))).      litb(pos(atom(p(1))))).      elem(atom(p(1))).      elem(false).
eleb(atom(p(2))).      litb(pos(atom(p(2))))).      elem(atom(p(2))).      elem(sum(0,0,2)).
eleb(atom(p(3))).      litb(pos(atom(p(3))))).      elem(atom(p(3))).      elem(sum(0,0,3)).
eleb(conjunction(0)).  litb(pos(conjunction(0))).  elem(atom(q(2))).
eleb(conjunction(1)).  litb(pos(conjunction(1))).  elem(conjunction(0)).
eleb(conjunction(2)).  litb(pos(conjunction(2))).  elem(conjunction(1)).
eleb(sum(0,0,2)).      litb(pos(sum(0,0,2))).  elem(conjunction(2)).

wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).

hold(conjunction(2)) :- hold(atom(p(3))),hold(atom(p(2))).
hold(conjunction(1)) :- hold(sum(0,0,2)).
hold(conjunction(0)).
hold(sum(0,0,2)) :- 0 #sum [ hold(atom(p(3)))=1, hold(atom(p(2)))=1, hold(atom(p(1)))=1 ] 2.
hold(atom(q(2))) :- hold(conjunction(2)).
0 #sum [ hold(atom(p(3)))=1, hold(atom(p(2)))=1, hold(atom(p(1)))=1 ] 3.
:- hold(conjunction(1)).

#hide.
#show hold(atom(p(1))). #show hold(atom(p(2))). #show hold(atom(p(3))). #show hold(atom(q(2))).
```

Reified Grounding by example

```
gringo --reify easy.lp | gringo - meta.lp
```

```
eleb(atom(p(1))).      litb(pos(atom(p(1))))).      elem(atom(p(1))).      elem(false).
eleb(atom(p(2))).      litb(pos(atom(p(2))))).      elem(atom(p(2))).      elem(sum(0,0,2)).
eleb(atom(p(3))).      litb(pos(atom(p(3))))).      elem(atom(p(3))).      elem(sum(0,0,3)).
eleb(conjunction(0)).  litb(pos(conjunction(0))).  elem(atom(q(2))).
eleb(conjunction(1)).  litb(pos(conjunction(1))).  elem(conjunction(0)).
eleb(conjunction(2)).  litb(pos(conjunction(2))).  elem(conjunction(1)).
eleb(sum(0,0,2)).      litb(pos(sum(0,0,2))).      elem(conjunction(2)).

wlist(0,0,pos(atom(p(1))),1).
wlist(0,1,pos(atom(p(2))),1).
wlist(0,2,pos(atom(p(3))),1).
rule(pos(sum(0,0,3)),pos(conjunction(0))).
set(1,pos(sum(0,0,2))).
rule(pos(false),pos(conjunction(1))).
set(2,pos(atom(p(2)))).
set(2,pos(atom(p(3)))).
rule(pos(atom(q(2))),pos(conjunction(2))).

hold(conjunction(2)) :- hold(atom(p(3))),hold(atom(p(2))).
hold(conjunction(1)) :- hold(sum(0,0,2)).
hold(conjunction(0)).
hold(sum(0,0,2)) :- 0 #sum [ hold(atom(p(3)))=1, hold(atom(p(2)))=1, hold(atom(p(1)))=1 ] 2.
hold(atom(q(2))) :- hold(conjunction(2)).
0 #sum [ hold(atom(p(3)))=1, hold(atom(p(2)))=1, hold(atom(p(1)))=1 ] 3.
:- hold(conjunction(1)).

#hide.
#show hold(atom(p(1))). #show hold(atom(p(2))). #show hold(atom(p(3))). #show hold(atom(q(2))).
```

Solving by example

```
easy.lp
```

```
{ p(1..3) }.  
:- { p(X) } 2.  
q(X) :- p(X), p(X+1), X>1.
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp | gringo - meta.lp | clasp 0
```

```
clasp version 2.0.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
hold(atom(p(3))) hold(atom(p(2))) hold(atom(p(1))) hold(atom(q(2)))  
SATISFIABLE
```

```
Models      : 1  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

Solving by example

```
easy.lp
```

```
{ p(1..3) }.  
:- { p(X) } 2.  
q(X) :- p(X), p(X+1), X>1.
```

```
gringo --reify easy.lp | gringo - meta.lp | clasp 0
```

```
clasp version 2.0.0  
Reading from stdin  
Solving...  
Answer: 1  
hold(atom(p(3))) hold(atom(p(2))) hold(atom(p(1))) hold(atom(q(2)))  
SATISFIABLE
```

```
Models      : 1  
Time        : 0.000s  
CPU Time    : 0.000s
```

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Basic Meta-Programming
- 4 Advanced Meta-Programming**
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Summary

Idea

- An answer set generated via `meta.lp` is optimal, only if it is not dominated by any other answer set
- Use disjunctive ASP to represent all potential counterexamples
- Encode the subtasks of
 - 1 guessing an answer set as a potential counterexample and
 - 2 verifying that the counterexample dominates a candidate answer set
- A candidate answer set passes both phases, if it is impossible to guess a counterexample that dominates it
- In all, we get three meta-encodings
 - `meta.lp` as above
 - `metaD.lp` for guessing a potential counterexample
 - `meta0.lp` for verifying non-dominance

Idea

- An answer set generated via `meta.lp` is optimal, only if it is not dominated by any other answer set
- Use disjunctive ASP to represent all potential counterexamples
- Encode the subtasks of
 - 1 guessing an answer set as a potential counterexample and
 - 2 verifying that the counterexample dominates a candidate answer set
- A candidate answer set passes both phases, if it is impossible to guess a counterexample that dominates it
- In all, we get three meta-encodings
 - `meta.lp` as above
 - `metaD.lp` for guessing a potential counterexample
 - `meta0.lp` for verifying non-dominance

- Encodes answer sets of extended logic programs by a **positive disjunctive meta-program**, including rules for
 - guessing an interpretation $\mathcal{I}$:


```
true(atom(A)) | fail(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)).
```
 - deriving error-indicating atom bot if $\mathcal{I}$ is not supported model
 - **3** deriving bot if true atoms (of SCCs) are not acyclicly derivable
 - deriving all truth assignments for atoms from bot (saturation):


```
true(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)), bot.
fail(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)), bot.
```
- Encodes acyclic derivability checks via
 - complement of immediate consequence operator
 - without guessing any level mapping (cf. [Eiter & Polleres, 06])
- One (proper) disjunctive meta-rule!
 - given in

- Encodes answer sets of extended logic programs by a **positive disjunctive meta-program**, including rules for
 - 1 guessing an interpretation $\mathcal{I}$:


```
true(atom(A)) | fail(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)).
```
 - 2 deriving error-indicating atom **bot** if $\mathcal{I}$ is not **supported model**
 - 3 deriving **bot** if true atoms (of SCCs) are not **acyclicly derivable**
 - 4 deriving all truth assignments for atoms from **bot** (saturation):


```
true(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)), bot.
fail(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)), bot.
```
- Encodes acyclic derivability checks via
 - complement of immediate consequence operator
 - without guessing any level mapping (cf. [Eiter & Polleres, 06])
- One (proper) disjunctive meta-rule!
 - given in **1**

- Encodes answer sets of extended logic programs by a **positive disjunctive meta-program**, including rules for
 - 1 guessing an interpretation $\mathcal{I}$:
`true(atom(A)) | fail(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)).`
 - 2 deriving error-indicating atom `bot` if $\mathcal{I}$ is not supported model
 - 3 deriving **bot** if true atoms (of SCCs) are not **acyclicly derivable**
 - 4 deriving all truth assignments for atoms from `bot` (saturation):
`true(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)), bot.`
`fail(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)), bot.`
- Encodes acyclic derivability checks via
 - **complement** of immediate consequence operator
 - **without guessing** any level mapping (cf. [Eiter & Polleres, 06])
- One (proper) disjunctive meta-rule!
 - given in 1

- Encodes answer sets of extended logic programs by a **positive disjunctive meta-program**, including rules for
 - 1 guessing an interpretation $\mathcal{I}$:
`true(atom(A)) | fail(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)).`
 - 2 deriving error-indicating atom **bot** if $\mathcal{I}$ is not **supported model**
 - 3 deriving **bot** if true atoms (of SCCs) are not **acyclicly derivable**
 - 4 deriving all truth assignments for atoms from **bot** (saturation):
`true(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)), bot.`
`fail(atom(A)) :- elem(atom(A)), bot.`
- Encodes acyclic derivability checks via
 - **complement** of immediate consequence operator
 - **without guessing** any level mapping (cf. [Eiter & Polleres, 06])
- One (proper) disjunctive meta-rule!
 - given in **1**

meta0.lp

- Encodes user-defined preferences composed from
 - cardinality minimality
 - inclusion minimality
 - literal preferences (cf. [Sakama & Inoue, 00])
- Aggregation via lexicographic ranking and/or Pareto efficiency
- Given a statement of the form
$$\# \text{minimize} [\ell_1 = w_1 @ L_1, \dots, \ell_k = w_k @ L_k].$$
 - priority levels L_i are ordered lexicographically: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$
 - weights w_i distinguish literals at a level: $1 @ L \parallel 2 @ L \parallel 3 @ L \parallel \dots$
 - optimization criteria by level and weight customizable via facts:
$$\text{optimize}(L, w, \text{card}; \text{incl}; \text{pref}).$$
- Comparison(s) of candidate answer set and counterexample
 - encoded by stratified logic program
 - deriving error-indicating atom `bot` if candidate not dominated (triggering saturation implemented in `metaD.lp`)
 - candidates admitting counterexample refuted by `:- not bot.`

meta0.lp

- Encodes user-defined preferences composed from
 - cardinality minimality
 - inclusion minimality
 - literal preferences (cf. [Sakama & Inoue, 00])
- Aggregation via lexicographic ranking and/or Pareto efficiency
- Given a statement of the form
$$\# \text{minimize} [\ell_1 = w_1 @ L_1, \dots, \ell_k = w_k @ L_k].$$
 - **priority levels** L_i are ordered lexicographically: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$
 - **weights** w_i distinguish literals at a level: $1 @ L \parallel 2 @ L \parallel 3 @ L \parallel \dots$
 - optimization criteria by level and weight customizable via facts:
`optimize(L, w, card;incl;pref).`
- Comparison(s) of candidate answer set and counterexample
 - encoded by stratified logic program
 - deriving error-indicating atom `bot` if candidate not dominated (triggering saturation implemented in `metaD.lp`)
 - candidates admitting counterexample refuted by `:- not bot.`

meta0.lp

- Encodes user-defined preferences composed from
 - cardinality minimality
 - inclusion minimality
 - literal preferences (cf. [Sakama & Inoue, 00])
- Aggregation via lexicographic ranking and/or Pareto efficiency
- Given a statement of the form
$$\# \text{minimize} [\ell_1 = w_1 @ L_1, \dots, \ell_k = w_k @ L_k].$$
 - **priority levels** L_i are ordered lexicographically: $1 < 2 < 3 < \dots$
 - **weights** w_i distinguish literals at a level: $1 @ L \parallel 2 @ L \parallel 3 @ L \parallel \dots$
 - optimization criteria by level and weight customizable via facts:
`optimize( $L$ ,  $w$ , card;incl;pref).`
- Comparison(s) of candidate answer set and counterexample
 - encoded by stratified logic program
 - deriving error-indicating atom **bot** if candidate not dominated (triggering saturation implemented in metaD.lp)
 - candidates admitting counterexample refuted by `:- not bot.`

- <http://www.cs.uni-potsdam.de/wv/metasp>
also via <http://potassco.sourceforge.net/labs.html>

- Cardinality-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,card).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Inclusion-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,incl).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Pareto-efficient answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p1.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} | \  
  claspD 0
```

- And combinations thereof!

- <http://www.cs.uni-potsdam.de/wv/metasp>
also via <http://potassco.sourceforge.net/labs.html>

- Cardinality-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,card).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Inclusion-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,incl).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Pareto-efficient answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p1.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} | \  
  claspD 0
```

- And combinations thereof!

- <http://www.cs.uni-potsdam.de/wv/metasp>
also via <http://potassco.sourceforge.net/labs.html>

- Cardinality-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,card).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Inclusion-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,incl).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Pareto-efficient answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p1.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} | \  
  claspD 0
```

- And combinations thereof!

- <http://www.cs.uni-potsdam.de/wv/metasp>
also via <http://potassco.sourceforge.net/labs.html>

- Cardinality-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,card).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Inclusion-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,incl).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Pareto-efficient answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p1.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} | \  
  claspD 0
```

- And combinations thereof!

- <http://www.cs.uni-potsdam.de/wv/metasp>
also via <http://potassco.sourceforge.net/labs.html>

- Cardinality-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,card).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Inclusion-minimal answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p0.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} <(echo "optimize(1,1,incl).") | \  
  claspD 0
```

- Pareto-efficient answer sets

```
gringo --reify examples/p1.lp | \  
  gringo - encodings/{meta.lp,metaD.lp,meta0.lp} | \  
  claspD 0
```

- And combinations thereof!

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Basic Meta-Programming
- 4 Advanced Meta-Programming
- 5 Experiments**
- 6 Summary

Some experiments

repair mode	direct encoding		meta encoding	
	time	timeout	time	timeout
mode 1	315801	72	4823	0
mode 2	45736	0	42308	2
mode 3	365227	78	366798	79
Σ	726764	150	413929	81

- Benchmarks: 3×100 random samples from real data of two biological experiments, used in [KR'10]
- Systems: *gringo* 3.0.3 and *claspD* 1.1
- Settings: timeout of 4000 seconds
- Observation: Meta-programming solved 219 versus 150 !

Some experiments

repair mode	direct encoding		meta encoding	
	time	timeout	time	timeout
mode 1	315801	72	4823	0
mode 2	45736	0	42308	2
mode 3	365227	78	366798	79
Σ	726764	150	413929	81

- Benchmarks: 3×100 random samples from real data of two biological experiments, used in [KR'10]
- Systems: *gringo* 3.0.3 and *claspD* 1.1
- Settings: timeout of 4000 seconds
- Observation: Meta-programming solved 219 versus 150 !

Some experiments

repair mode	direct encoding		meta encoding	
	time	timeout	time	timeout
mode 1	315801	72	4823	0
mode 2	45736	0	42308	2
mode 3	365227	78	366798	79
Σ	726764	150	413929	81

- Benchmarks: 3×100 random samples from real data of two biological experiments, used in [KR'10]
- Systems: *gringo* 3.0.3 and *claspD* 1.1
- Settings: timeout of 4000 seconds
- Observation: **Meta-programming solved 219 versus 150 !**

Outline

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preliminaries
- 3 Basic Meta-Programming
- 4 Advanced Meta-Programming
- 5 Experiments
- 6 Summary

Summary

- Extended the ease of ASP modeling to complex preferences (involving a higher computational complexity)
- A meta-programming approach to complex optimization is an eligible and viable alternative
- Need enhancements of disjunctive ASP solvers
- *This is a stronghold of ASP!*

Summary

- Extended the ease of ASP modeling to complex preferences (involving a higher computational complexity)
- A meta-programming approach to complex optimization is an eligible and viable alternative
- Need enhancements of disjunctive ASP solvers
- *This is a stronghold of ASP!*

Summary

- Extended the ease of ASP modeling to complex preferences (involving a higher computational complexity)
- A meta-programming approach to complex optimization is an eligible and viable alternative
- Need enhancements of disjunctive ASP solvers
- *This is a stronghold of ASP!*